

INVESTIGATION OF THE LIMITING BEHAVIOR OF THE SEQUENCE OF DENSITY RATIOS IN A FAMILY OF NONCENTRAL t-DISTRIBUTIONS

Sunardi Wirjosudirdjo

Department of Mathematics

(Received January 15, 1963)

ICHTISAR

Misalnya  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  adalah barisan variabel random yang mempunyai distribusi  $t$  yang noncentral dengan parameter  $\theta$ . Maksud dari karangan ini adalah menjelidiki sifat<sup>2</sup> limit rasio fungsi kepadatan dari  $X_n$  tersebut. Hal ini merupakan tjontoh dari matjamnja famili distribusi yang dibitjarakan dalam karangan [4]. Djuga karangan ini merupakan pemitjaraan lebih lanjut dari [2], dimana David & Kruskal membuktikan bahwa sequential probability ratio test dari distribusi  $t$  akan berhenti dengan kemungkinan satu. Sifat limit dari tjontoh famili distribusi disini lebih kuat dari di [4], dan dapat diterangkan sbb.: Misalkan  $\theta_1 < \theta_2$  adalah dua parameter dan  $R_n(\theta_1, \theta_2)$  adalah rasio kepadatan  $X_n$  dari  $\theta_2$  dan  $\theta_1$ . Maka terdapat  $\theta_0$  sehingga limit dari  $R_n$  sama dengan 0 terhadap  $\theta < \theta_0$  dan sama dengan  $\infty$  terhadap  $\theta > \theta_0$ . Sedang terhadap  $\theta_0$  limit infimumnja sama dengan 0 dan limit supremumnja adalah  $\infty$ . Letak  $\theta_0$  ini diantara 0 dan  $\frac{1}{2}(\theta_1 + \theta_2)$ , sehingga hal yang terakhir ini akan menghilangkan sangkaan orang mengenai letak simetrijnja  $\theta_0$  diantara  $\theta_1$  dan  $\theta_2$ .

ABSTRACT

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be a sequence of noncentral  $t$ -distributed random variables with parameter  $\theta$ . In this paper we investigate the limiting behavior of the density ratios of  $X_n$ . This is an example of the family of distributions discussed in [4]. Here, we derive the results of David & Kruskal [2] in a slightly more general form and a stronger result is obtained, namely:

If  $\theta_1 < \theta_2$  are two parameters and  $R_n(\theta_1, \theta_2)$  is the density ratio of  $X_n$ , then there is  $\theta_0$  such that the limit of  $R_n$  is 0 with respect to  $\theta < \theta_0$  and is  $\infty$  with respect to  $\theta > \theta_0$ . With respect to  $\theta = \theta_0$ , the limit infimum is 0 and the limit supremum is  $\infty$ . It is also shown that  $\theta_0$  is between 0 and  $\frac{1}{2}(\theta_1 + \theta_2)$ .

In this paper we shall examine the limiting behavior of the sequence of density ratios if  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  is a sequence of noncentral  $t$  ratios. The results obtained will be stronger than those obtained in [4] Chap. 3. Most, but not all, of these results are also implicit in [2].

Let  $Z_1, Z_2, \dots$  be independent and identically distributed random variables, the common distribution being normal with mean  $\theta$  and variance

1. Define for  $n \geq 2$ :

$$U_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i, V_n = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (Z_i - U_n)^2} \text{ and } X_n = U_n / V_n$$

There is no loss of generality in assuming that the  $Z$ 's have variance

1. If the variance were  $\sigma^2$ , we substitute  $Z_i/\sigma$  for  $Z_i$  in the definitions of  $U_n$  and  $V_n$ , which does not alter  $X_n$ .

In [3] the family of distributions of  $X_n$  was shown to be a monotone likelihood ratio family. The sufficiency of  $X_n$  on  $\mathbf{A}_n$  follows from a factorization theorem of D.R. Cox [1], if one takes in this theorem  $\theta_1 = \theta$ ,  $\theta_2 = 1$ ,  $T_1 = X_n$ ,  $T_2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (Z_i - U_n)^2$ ,  $U_k = X_{k-1}$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n-2$ , and  $S$  is the group of transformations  $Z_i \rightarrow aZ_i$ , where  $a$  is any positive number.

The distribution of  $\sqrt{n-1} V_n$  is chi with  $n-1$  degrees of freedom and  $U_n$  is normal  $\left(\theta, \frac{1}{n}\right)$ . We recall that in [4], Chap. 2 and 3 the density ratio  $p_{\theta_2}^{X_n} / p_{\theta_1}^{X_n}$  was denoted by  $r_n(X_n; \theta_1, \theta_2)$ . In this paper we shall suppress the dependence on  $\theta_1, \theta_2$ , and write  $r_n(X_n), r_n(x)$  instead of  $r_n(X_n; \theta_1, \theta_2), r_n(x; \theta_2, \theta_2)$ . As usual,  $\theta_1 < \theta_2$ . We shall show (this result is also implicit in [2]) that there is a unique number  $\theta_0$  such that  $r_n(x) \rightarrow 0$  or  $\infty$  according as  $x < \theta_0$  or  $> \theta_0$ . This allows the following conclusions concerning  $r_n(X_n)$ :

- (1)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n(X_n) = 0$  a.e.  $P_\theta$  if  $\theta < \theta_0$ .
- (2)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n(X_n) = \infty$  a.e.  $P_\theta$  if  $\theta > \theta_0$ .

To show (1) and (2) we first remark that  $U_n \rightarrow \theta$  a.e.  $P_n$  and  $V_n \rightarrow 1$  in probability, so that  $X_n \rightarrow \theta$  a.e.  $P_n$ . Suppose  $\theta < \theta_0$  and  $\omega$  is not in an exceptional null set so that  $X_n(\omega) \rightarrow \theta$ . Choose any  $x$  such that  $\theta < x < \theta_0$ , then there is an integer  $N_\omega$  such that  $X_n(\omega) \leq x$  if  $n \geq N_\omega$ . Since  $r_n(x)$  is an increasing function of  $x$  we have for all  $n \geq N_\omega$ :  $r_n(X_n(\omega)) \leq r_n(x)$ , so that:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n(X(\omega)) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n(x) = 0$$

This gives (1), and (2) is obtained analogously.

The density of  $X_n$  can be found as follows:

$$P_\theta \{X_n \leq x\} = \int_0^\infty P^{V_n}(v) dv \int_{-\infty}^{vx} P_\theta^{U_n}(u) du$$

so that

$$P_\theta^{X_n}(x) = \int_0^\infty P^{V_n}(v) P^{U_n}(vx) v dv$$

After substituting the densities of  $V_n$  and  $U_n$  we have

$$(3) \quad P_\theta^{X_n}(x) = K_n \int_0^\infty v^{n-1} \exp \left[ -\frac{n}{2} (vx - \theta)^2 - \frac{n-1}{2} v^2 \right] dv$$

where

$$K_n = \frac{2 \left( \frac{n-1}{2} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}{\pi \left( \frac{n-1}{2} \right)} \sqrt{\frac{n}{2\pi}}$$

or

$$P_{\theta} X_n(x) = K_n \exp \left[ -\frac{n}{2} \theta^2 \right] \int_0^{\infty} v^{n-1} \exp \left[ -\frac{n}{2} \left( 1+x^2 - \frac{1}{n} \right) v^2 + n\theta xv \right] dv$$

and after making the transformation  $\sqrt{n \left( 1+x^2 - \frac{1}{n} \right)} v \rightarrow v$  we have

$$P_{\theta} X_n(x) = K_n \exp \left[ -\frac{n}{2} \theta^2 \right] \left\{ n \left( 1+x^2 - \frac{1}{n} \right) \right\}^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_0^{\infty} v^{n-1} \exp \left[ -\frac{v^2}{2} - \frac{\theta x}{\sqrt{\left( 1+x^2 - \frac{1}{n} \right)}} \sqrt{n} v \right] dv$$

Substituting  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  for  $\theta$ , respectively, and taking the ratio, we obtain

$$(4) \quad r_n(x) = \frac{\exp \left[ -\frac{n}{2} (\theta_2^2 - \theta_1^2) \right] \int_0^{\infty} v^{n-1} \exp \left[ -\frac{v^2}{2} - \frac{\theta_2 x}{\sqrt{\left( 1+x^2 - \frac{1}{n} \right)}} \sqrt{n} v \right] dv}{\int_0^{\infty} v^{n-1} \exp \left[ -\frac{v^2}{2} - \frac{\theta_1 x}{\sqrt{\left( 1+x^2 - \frac{1}{n} \right)}} \sqrt{n} v \right] dv}$$

Consider the following integrals:

$$(5) \quad I_n(w_n) = \int_0^{\infty} v^{n-1} \exp \left[ -\frac{v^2}{2} - \sqrt{n} w_n v \right] dv$$

and

$$(6) \quad J_n(w_n) = \int_{-v_n}^{\infty} \left( 1 - \frac{y}{v_n} \right)^{n-1} \exp \left[ -\frac{y^2}{2} - \frac{n-1}{v_n} y \right] dy$$

in which

$$(7) \quad v_n = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{n} \left\{ w_n + \sqrt{w_n^2 - 4 - \frac{4}{n}} \right\}$$

In [2], section 2, replacing in [2]  $w$  by  $w_n$  it is shown that:

$$(8) \quad I_n(w_n) = \left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{e}\right)^{n-1} \left(\frac{v_n}{\sqrt{n}} \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{v_n}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^2 \right] \right) \left\{ n \frac{\sqrt{n}}{v_n} J_n(w_n) \right\}$$

If

$$(9) \quad w_n \rightarrow w$$

then

$$(10) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_n(w_n) = \left[ \frac{8\pi}{4 + (\sqrt{w^2 + 4} - w)^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = J(w), \text{ say}$$

The proof is essentially contained in (2), section 2. More precisely, the paper cited proves  $J_n(w) \rightarrow J(w)$ , but the proof needs only a very slight modification to obtain (10). Note that if we have (9), then

$$(11) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{v_n}{\sqrt{n}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( w + \sqrt{w^2 + 4} \right) = \alpha(w), \text{ say.}$$

We shall give now a useful extension of the lemma in [2], section 2:

**Lemma 1.** *If*

$$(12) \quad w_n = w + \frac{a_n}{n}$$

where

$$(13) \quad a_n \rightarrow a$$

then

$$(14) \quad I_n(w_n) \sim \left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{e}\right)^{n-1} e^{-1} \frac{J(w)}{\alpha(w)} \exp \left[ a \alpha(w) \right] \left\{ \alpha(w) \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\alpha(w))^2 \right] \right\}$$

**Proof:** Substituting (12) into (7), we compute

$$(15) \quad \frac{v_n}{\sqrt{n}} = \alpha(w) \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{n \sqrt{w^2 + 4}} \left( a - \frac{1}{\alpha(w)} \right) \right\} + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

and substituting of (10), (11) and (15) into (8) yields (14).

The integral in the numerator on the right hand side of (4) is of the form

$$(5) \text{ with } w_n = \frac{\theta_2 x}{\sqrt{1 - x^2 - \frac{1}{n}}}, \text{ so that } w_n \text{ is clearly of the form (12). Similarly}$$

the integral in the denominator. Thus we can apply Lemma 1 to study the limiting behavior of  $r_n(x)$ . If we put

$$(16) \quad z_i = \frac{\theta_i x}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}} \quad i = 1, 2.$$

then for the limiting behavior of  $r_n(x)$  we can replace the integral in the numerator (denominator) in (14) with  $w$  replaced by  $z_2$  ( $z_1$ ).

We get

$$\ln r_n(x) \sim \frac{n}{2} \left\{ -\theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2 + 2 \ln \alpha(z_2) + (z(z_2))^2 - 2 \ln \alpha(z_1) - (z(z_1))^2 \right\}$$

multiplied by a factor that does not involve  $n$ . Thus,

$$(17) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln r_n(x) = -\frac{1}{2}(\theta_2^2 - \theta_1^2) + \ln \alpha(z_2) - \ln \alpha(z_1) + \frac{1}{2}(z(z_2))^2 - \frac{1}{2}(z(z_1))^2$$

If we put

$$(18) \quad \xi = \frac{x}{\sqrt{1+x^2}}$$

then from (16) we have  $z_i = \xi \theta_i$ . Furthermore, we define

$$(19) \quad h(\theta, x) = -\frac{1}{2} \theta^2 + \ln \alpha(\xi \theta) + \frac{1}{2} (z(\xi \theta))^2$$

Then we can write (17) as

$$(20) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln r_n(x) = h(\theta_2, x) - h(\theta_1, x)$$

The right hand side of (20) depends on  $x$  through  $\xi$ . Since by (18)  $\xi$  and  $x$  have the same sign, we see immediately from (19) that

$$(21) \quad h(-\theta, -x) = h(\theta, x)$$

Since  $r(x)$  is a strictly increasing function of  $x$ , the same is true for the right hand side of (20) (this can also be checked directly, and this has been done in [2]). Continuity in  $x$  is obvious. We shall show that  $h(\theta_2, x) - h(\theta_1, x)$  is positive if  $x = \theta_2$  and negative if  $x = \theta_1$ . Thus, there is a unique value of  $x$ , say  $\theta_0$ , with  $\theta_1 > \theta_0 < \theta_2$ , such that  $h(\theta_2, x) - h(\theta_1, x)$  is positive, 0 or negative according as  $x > \theta_0$ ,  $= \theta_0$  or  $< \theta_0$ . By (20) this implies that  $\ln r_n(x) \rightarrow \infty$  or  $-\infty$  according as  $x > \theta_0$  or  $< \theta_0$ .

We shall show first that  $h(\theta, x)$  is concave as a function of  $\theta$ , for fixed  $x$ , and that it has a maximum. First we differentiate the function  $\ln \alpha(z) + \frac{1}{2} (z(z))^2$  with respect to  $z$ , using the definition (11) of  $\alpha(z)$ .

We get

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha(z)} + \alpha(z) \right\}' z'(z) = \sqrt{z^2 + 4} z'(z) = \alpha(z)$$

We use this in differentiating  $h(\theta, x)$  twice with respect to  $\theta$ :

$$(22) \quad \frac{\partial h}{\partial \theta} = -\theta + \xi z(\xi \theta)$$

$$(23) \quad \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial \theta^2} = -1 + \xi^2 z'(\xi \theta)$$

Now  $\xi^2 < 1$  and  $|\alpha'| < 1$ , from which it follows that  $\frac{\delta^2 h}{\delta \theta^2} < 0$  for all  $\theta$ . Therefore,  $h$  is strictly concave in  $\theta$ . Setting the right hand side of (22) equal to 0 gives the solution  $\theta = \frac{\xi}{\sqrt{1-\xi^2}} = x$ , so that this is the unique maximum. Hence

$$(24) \quad h(\theta, \theta) < h(\theta', \theta) \quad \text{if } \theta \neq \theta'$$

In particular, if we take  $x = \theta_2$  on the right hand side of (20) we get  $h(\theta_2, \theta_2) - h(\theta_1, \theta_2)$  which is  $\geq 0$  by (24) and if we take  $x = \theta_1$  we get  $h(\theta_2, \theta_1) - h(\theta_1, \theta_1)$  which is  $< 0$ , as was to be shown. As was remarked before, this implies the existence of a unique  $\theta_o$  such that

$$(25) \quad h(\theta_2, \theta_o) - h(\theta_1, \theta_o) = 0$$

We shall sometimes denote the solution of (25) by  $\theta_o(\theta_1, \theta_2)$ . From (21) we see that if the triple  $(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_o)$  satisfies (25), then so does the triple  $(-\theta_2, -\theta_1, -\theta_o)$ . We can express this by

$$(26) \quad \theta_o(-\theta_2, -\theta_1) = -\theta_o(\theta_1, \theta_2)$$

Since  $\alpha(0) = 1$  we have from (19):  $h(\theta_2, 0) - h(\theta_1, 0) = -\frac{1}{2}(\theta_2^2 - \theta_1^2) = -\frac{1}{2}(\theta_2 - \theta_1)(\theta_2 + \theta_1)$ , so that  $\theta_1 + \theta_2$  and  $h(\theta_2, 0) - h(\theta_1, 0)$  have opposite sign. This, together with the fact that  $h(\theta_2, x) - h(\theta_1, x)$  is increasing in  $x$  implies

$$(27) \quad \theta_o > 0 \quad \text{if } \theta_1 + \theta_2 > 0$$

$$(28) \quad \theta_o = 0 \quad \text{if } \theta_1 + \theta_2 = 0$$

$$(29) \quad \theta_o < 0 \quad \text{if } \theta_1 + \theta_2 < 0$$

as shown also in (2). Ofcourse, (28) and (29) are also a consequence of (27) and (26).

Now we are going to show more about the position of  $\theta_o$  than is given by (27), (28) and (29), namely

$$(30) \quad 0 < \theta_o < \frac{1}{2}(\theta_1 + \theta_2) \quad \text{if } \theta_1 + \theta_2 > 0$$

$$(31) \quad 0 > \theta_o > \frac{1}{2}(\theta_1 + \theta_2) \quad \text{if } \theta_1 + \theta_2 < 0$$

This can be expressed in words by saying that  $\theta_o$  lies between 0 and  $(\theta_1 + \theta_2)/2$  which also covers the case  $\theta_o = 0$  if  $\theta_1 + \theta_2 = 0$ . We need only show (30) since (31) follows from (30) and (26). Moreover, the left inequality in (30) is the same as (27), so that we only have to show  $\theta_o < (\theta_1 + \theta_2)/2$ . Define

$$(32) \quad g(\tau) = h(x + \tau; x) - h(x - \tau; x)$$

Actually,  $g$  also depends on  $x$ , but this is suppressed in the notation. We need to consider  $g$  only for  $x < 0$  and  $\tau \geq 0$ . Using (23) we compute

$$(33) \quad g''(\tau) = \xi^2 \alpha'(\xi(x + \tau)) - \alpha'(\xi(x - \tau))$$

By (18)  $\xi$  and  $x$  have the same sign, so  $\xi < 0$ . Since  $\alpha'(w)$  is an increasing function of  $w$  the right hand side of (33) is  $> 0$ . Moreover, it follows immediately from (32) that  $g(0) = g'(0) = 0$ . Thus,  $g(\tau) > 0$  for all  $\tau > 0$ , or

$$(34) \quad h(x + \alpha; x) > h(x - \tau; x) \quad \text{if } x > 0, \tau > 0$$

Now let  $\theta_0$  satisfy (25), and substitute in (34)  $x = \theta_0$ ,  $\tau = \theta_0 - \theta_1$ ; so that  $x > 0$ ,  $\tau > 0$ . On the left in (34) we have  $h(2\theta_0 - \theta_1; \theta_0)$ . On the right we have  $h(\theta_1; \theta_0)$ , which equals  $h(\theta_2; \theta_0)$  by (25). Thus, (34) reduces to

$$(35) \quad h(2\theta_0 - \theta_1; \theta_0) > h(\theta_2; \theta_0)$$

Now  $h(\theta; \theta_0)$  as a function of  $\theta$  has its maximum at  $\theta = \theta_0$ . Since  $\theta_2 < \theta_0$ ,  $h(\theta; \theta_0)$  is a decreasing function of  $\theta$  for  $\theta \geq \theta_0$ . It follows then from (35) that  $2\theta_0 - \theta_1 < \theta_2$ ; or  $\theta_0 < (\theta_1 + \theta_2)/2$ , as was to be shown.

Finally we are going to investigate the limiting behavior of  $r_n(X_n)$  if  $\theta = \theta_0$ . Consider the following sequence

$$(36) \quad x_n = \theta_0 + c/n$$

where  $c$  is some constant. If in (4),  $x$  is replaced by  $x_n$ , then the integral in the

denominator of (4) is of the form (5) with  $w_n = \frac{\theta_1 x_n}{\sqrt{1 + x_n^2} - 1/n}$ , where

$x_n$  is given by (36). This  $w_n$  is of the form (12); denote the limit on the right hand side of (13) by  $a^{(1)}$ . For the integral in the numerator of (4) we have a similar expression for  $w_n$ , with  $\theta_1$  replaced by  $\theta_2$ , and limit in (13) given by  $a^{(2)}$ . We compute  $w_n$ :

$$\begin{aligned} w_n &= \theta_i(\theta_0 + c/n) \{1 + (\theta_0 + c/n)^2 - 1/n\}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \theta_i(\theta_0 + c/n) (1 + \theta_0^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2n} \frac{2c\theta_0 - 1}{1 + \theta_0^2} + o(1/n)\right) \\ &= \frac{\theta_i \theta_0}{\sqrt{1 + \theta_0^2}} + \frac{1}{n} \left( \frac{\theta_i c}{\sqrt{1 + \theta_0^2}} - \frac{\theta_i \theta_0 (2c\theta_0 - 1)}{(1 + \theta_0^2)^{3/2}} + o(1) \right) \\ &= \frac{\theta_i \theta_0}{\sqrt{1 + \theta_0^2}} + \frac{1}{n} \left( \frac{\theta_i \theta_0}{2(1 + \theta_0^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{\theta_i c}{(1 + \theta_0^2)^{3/2}} + o(1) \right) \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$(37) \quad a^{(i)} = \frac{\theta_i \theta_0}{2(1 + \theta_0^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{\theta_i c}{(1 + \theta_0^2)^{3/2}}$$

Since  $x_n \rightarrow \theta_0$ , the values of  $z_i$  to be substituted for  $w$  in the asymptotic formula (14) are given by (16)  $x = \theta_0$ . Using (14) in (4) the factor that depends exponentially on  $n$  is then

$$\exp [n (h(\theta_2, \theta_0) - h(\theta_1, \theta_0))]$$

which is equal to 1 for all  $n$  by (25). From the remaining factors in (14) we obtain

$$r_n(X_n) \sim \exp \left[ a^{(2)} \alpha \left( \frac{\theta_2 \theta_o}{\sqrt{1 + \theta_o^2}} \right) - a^{(1)} \alpha \left( \frac{\theta_1 \theta_o}{\sqrt{1 + \theta_o^2}} \right) \right]$$

multiplied by a positive factor that does not involve  $c$ . Using (37) we have then (38)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n(x_n) = A \exp [Bc]$$

where  $A$  is a positive constant and

$$(39) \quad B = \frac{\theta_2}{(1 + \theta_o^2)^{3/2}} \alpha \left( \frac{\theta_2 \theta_o}{\sqrt{1 + \theta_o^2}} \right) - \frac{\theta_1}{(1 + \theta_o^2)^{3/2}} \alpha \left( \frac{\theta_1 \theta_o}{\sqrt{1 + \theta_o^2}} \right)$$

We claim that  $B > 0$ . If  $\theta_1 \leq 0 \leq \theta_2$  this follows immediately from the positiveness of the function  $\alpha$ . If  $0 < \theta_1 < \theta_2$ , so that also  $\theta_o > 0$ , we make use of the fact that  $\alpha$  is an increasing function of its argument. The case  $\theta_1 < \theta_2 \leq 0$  is reduced to the case  $0 \leq \theta_1 < \theta_2$  by making the transformation  $\theta_1 \rightarrow -\theta_2$ ,  $\theta_2 \rightarrow -\theta_1$ ,  $\theta_o \rightarrow -\theta_o$  (using (26)), which leaves  $B$  invariant.

We shall use (38) now to study the limiting behavior of  $r_n(X_n)$  if  $\theta = \theta_o$ . We know that with respect to  $P_{\theta_o}$ ,  $\sqrt{n} (X_n - \theta_o)$  has a limiting distribution which is normal with mean 0 and variance 1, so that if  $c$  is a positive number (40)  $P_{\theta_o} (\theta_o - c/n \leq X_n \leq \theta_o + c/n) = P_{\theta_o} (-c/\sqrt{n} \leq \sqrt{n} (X_n - \theta_o) \leq c/\sqrt{n}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $b$  and  $d$  be any numbers with  $0 < b < d < \infty$ , there is a positive number  $c$  such that

$$(41) \quad A \exp [-Bc] < b < d < A \exp [Bc]$$

From (38) there is then an integer  $N$  such that for  $n \geq N$  we have

$$(42) \quad r_n(\theta_o - c/n) < b \quad \text{and} \quad r_n(\theta_o + c/n) > d$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\theta_o} (b \leq r_n(X_n) \leq d) &\leq P_{\theta_o} (r_n(\theta_o - c/n) < r_n(X_n) < r_n(\theta_o + c/n)) \\ &\leq P_{\theta_o} (\theta_o - c/n \leq X_n \leq \theta_o + c/n) \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{by (40)} \end{aligned}$$

and using (40) we get

$$(43) \quad P_{\theta_o} \{b \leq r_n(X_n) \leq d\} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

Finally we are going to show that

$$(44) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n(X_n) = 0 \quad \text{a.e. } P_{\theta_o}$$

$$(45) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n(X_n) = \infty \quad \text{a.e. } P_{\theta_o}$$

It is sufficient to show that for every positive and finite number  $b$ :

$$(46) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{\theta_o} \{r_n(X_n) \geq b\} = \frac{1}{2}$$

for this implies (45), and (44) is implied by  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{\theta_o} \{r_n(X_n) \leq b\} = 0$  which



follows immediately from (46). To show (46), let  $c$  be a positive number satisfying

$$(47) \quad A \exp [-Bc] < b < A \exp [Bc]$$

Similar to the proof that led to (43), we have

$$(48) \quad P_{\theta_0}(\theta_0 + c/n \leq X_n) \leq (P_{\theta_0}(b \leq r_n(X_n))) \leq P_{\theta_0}(\theta_0 - c/n \leq X_n) \quad \text{for}$$

$n \geq N$ . Since  $\sqrt{n}(X_n - \theta_0)$  has a limiting distribution which is normal with mean 0 and variance 1, we have

$$(49) \quad P_{\theta_0}(\theta_0 + c/n \leq X_n) = P_{\theta_0}(+c/\sqrt{n} \leq \sqrt{n}(X_n - \theta_0)) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

It is easy to see that (48) and (49) imply (46).

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. COX D.R.: *Sequential tests for composite hypotheses*, *Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* vol. 48 (1952) pp. 290 — 299.
2. DAVID H.T. and KRUSKAL W.H.: *The WAGR sequential t-test reaches a decision with probability one*, *Ann. Math. Stat.*, vol 27 (1956), pp. 797 — 804.
3. KRUSKAL W.H.: *The monotonicity of the ratio of two noncentral t density functions*, *Ann. Math. Stat.*, vol 26 (1955), pp. 150 — 151.
4. WIRJOSUDIRDJO S.: *Limiting behavior of a sequence of density ratios*, *Proc., Madjalah ITB*, vol. 2 no. 4 (1964), pp. 153 — 173.