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#### Abstract

The total edge irregular k-labeling of a graph $G=(V, E)$ is the labeling of vertices and edges of $G$ in such a way that for any different edges their weights are distinct. The total edge irregularity strength, tes $(G)$, is defined as the minimum $k$ for which $G$ has a total edge irregular $k$-labeling. In this paper, we consider the total edge irregularity strength of the disjoint union of m special types of helm graphs.
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## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider a graph $G$ as a finite graph (without loop and multiple edges) with the vertex-set $V$ and the edge-set $E$. In [1], Baca, Jendrol, Miller and Ryan introduced the notion of the total edge irregular $k$-labeling of a graph $G=(V, E$ namely the labeling $\psi: V \cup E \rightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ such that all edge weights are different. The weight $w t(u v)$ of an edge $u v$ is defined as $w t_{\psi}(u v)=\psi(u)+\psi(u v)+\psi(v)$. The total edge irregularity strength of $G$, denoted by tes $(G)$, is the smallest $k$ for which $G$ has a total edge irregular $k$ labeling.

The basic idea of the total edge irregularity strength came from irregular assignments and the irregularity strength of graphs introduced by Chartrand, Jacobson, Lehel, Oellermann, Ruiz and Saba [2]. An irregular assignment is a $k$-labeling of the edges such that the sum of the labels of edges incident to a vertex is different for all the vertices of $G$. The smallest integer $k$ for which $G$ has an irregular assignment is called the irregularity strength of $G$, and is denoted by $s(G)$.

[^0]It is not an easy task to compute the irregularity strength of graphs with simple structures, see [3-6]. Karonski, Luczak and Thomason [7] conjectured that the edges of every connected graph of order at least 3 can be assigned labels from $\{1,2,3\}$ such that for all pairs of adjacent vertices the sums of the labels of the incident edges are distinct. Baca, Jendrol, Miller and Ryan [1] gave a lower bound on the total edge irregularity strength of a graph:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tes}(G) \geq \max \left\{\left[\frac{|E(G)|+2}{3}\right\rceil,\left\lceil\frac{\Delta(G)+1}{2}\right\rceil\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta(G)$ is the maximum degree of $G$. The authors of [1] determined the exact values of the total edge irregularity strength for paths, cycles, stars, wheels and friendship graphs. Recently, Ivanco and Jendrol [8] posed the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1. Let $G$ be an arbitrary graph different from $K_{-} 5$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tes}(G)=\max \left\{\left\lceil\frac{|E(G)|+2}{3}\right\rceil,\left\lceil\frac{\Delta(G)+1}{2}\right\rceil\right\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conjecture 1 has been verified for all trees in [8], for complete graphs and complete bipartite graphs in [9] and [10], for the Cartesian product of two paths $P_{n} \square P_{m}$ in [11], for the corona product of a path with certain graphs in [12], for large dense graphs with $\frac{|E(G)|+2}{3} \leq \frac{\Delta(G)+1}{2}$ in [13], for hexagonal grids in [14], for the zigzag graph [15], for the categorical product of two paths $P_{n} \times P_{m}$ [16], for the categorical product of a cycle and a path $C_{n} \times P_{m}$ in [17,18], for a subdivision of stars in [19], for the categorical product of two cycles in [20], and for the strong product of two paths in [21].

Motivated by [22], we investigated the total edge irregularity strength of the disjoint union of helm graphs. A helm graph $H_{n}$ is obtained from a wheel on $n+1$ vertices by adding a pendant edge to every vertex of its cycle $C_{n}$. In this study, we determined the total edge irregularity strength of the disjoint union of $m$ copies of a certain helm graph. We also determined the total edge irregularity strength of the disjoint union of non-isomorphic helm graphs.

This paper adds further support to Conjecture 1 by demonstrating that the disjoint union of helm graphs has a total edge irregularity strength equal to


## 2 Main Results

First, we determine the total edge irregularity strength of a disjoint union $m H_{n}$ of $m$ copies of a helm graph $H_{n}$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V\left(H_{n}\right)=\left\{c^{j}, x_{i}^{j}, y_{i}^{j} ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m\right\} \\
& E\left(H_{n}\right)=\left\{c^{j} x_{i}^{j}, x_{i}^{j} y_{i}^{j}, x_{i}^{j} x_{i+1}^{j} ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, the subscript $n+1$ is replaced by 1 .

Lemma 1. For $n \geq 3$ tes $\left(2 H_{n}\right)=2 n+1$.

Proof. From (1) it follows that $\operatorname{tes}\left(2 H_{n}\right) \geq 2 n+1$. Now the existence of an optimal labeling $\varphi_{1}$ proves the converse inequality for $1 \leq i \leq n$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{1}\left(x_{i}^{1}\right)=\varphi_{1}\left(y_{i}^{1}\right)=1, \varphi_{1}\left(c^{1}\right)=\varphi_{1}\left(c^{2}\right)=2 n+1 \\
& \varphi_{1}\left(c^{1} x_{i}^{1}\right)=\varphi_{1}\left(x_{i}^{2} x_{i+1}^{2}\right)=\varphi_{1}\left(x_{i}^{1} y_{i}^{1}\right)=\varphi_{1}\left(x_{i}^{2} y_{i}^{2}\right)=i \\
& \varphi_{1}\left(x_{i}^{2}\right)=2 n+1, \quad \varphi_{1}\left(y_{i}^{2}\right)=n+1, \quad \varphi_{1}\left(c^{2} x_{i}^{2}\right)=\varphi_{1}\left(x_{i}^{1} x_{i+1}^{1}\right)=n+i
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see that the weights of the edges are pair-wise distinct. This concludes the proof.

Theorem 1. Let $m, n \geq 3$ be two integers. Then, the total edge irregularity strength of a disjoint union $m H_{n}$ of $m$ copies of a helm graph $H_{n}$ is $m n+1$.

Proof. As $\left|\mathrm{E}\left(m H_{n}\right)\right|=3 m n$ then (1) implies that $\operatorname{tes}\left(H_{n}\right) \geq m n+1$. Let $k=$ $m n+1$. To prove the converse inequality, we define the total edge irregular $k$ labeling $\psi_{1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq m$ as follows:

$$
\psi_{1}\left(c^{j}\right)=\psi_{1}\left(x_{i}^{j}\right)=\psi_{1}\left(y_{i}^{j}\right)=\min \left\{\left\lfloor\frac{3 n(j-1)+2}{2}\right\rfloor, k\right\} .
$$

Case I: For $1 \leq j \leq m$ such that $\left\lfloor\frac{3 n(j-1)+2}{2}\right\rfloor<k$
(i) When $n$ is even,

$$
\psi_{1}\left(x_{i}^{j} y_{i}^{j}\right)=i, \psi_{1}\left(x_{i}^{j} x_{i+1}^{j}\right)=n+i, \psi_{1}\left(c^{j} x_{i}^{j}\right)=2 n+i,
$$

(ii) When $n$ is odd,
(a) If $j$ is odd, then the edges $c^{j} x_{i}^{j}, x_{i}^{j} y_{i}^{j}$ and $x_{i}^{j} x_{i+1}^{j}$ receive the same labels as in Case I (i)
(b) If $j$ is even,

$$
\psi_{1}\left(x_{i}^{j} y_{i}^{j}\right)=1+i, \quad \psi_{1}\left(x_{i}^{j} x_{i+1}^{j}\right)=n+1+i, \quad \psi_{1}\left(c^{j} x_{i}^{j}\right)=2 n+1+i,
$$

Case II: For $1 \leq j \leq m$ such that $\left\lfloor\frac{3 n(j-1)+2}{2}\right\rfloor \geq k$
Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w=\min \left\{j ; 1 \leq j \leq m \text { such that }\left\lfloor\frac{3 n(j-1)+2}{2}\right\rfloor \geq k\right\} \\
& l=\max \left\{t_{j} ; 1 \leq j \leq m \text { such that }\left\lfloor\frac{3 n(j-1)+2}{2}\right\rfloor<k\right\} \\
& t_{j}=\min \left\{\left[\frac{3 n(j-1)+2}{2}\right\rfloor, \mathrm{k}\right\} \text { for } 1 \leq j \leq m \text { such that }\left\lfloor\frac{3 n(j-1)+2}{2}\right\rfloor<k
\end{aligned}
$$

(i) When $n$ is even,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{1}\left(x_{i}^{j} y_{i}^{j}\right)= \begin{cases}3 n+2(l-k)+i, & \text { if } \quad j=w \\
3 n+2(l-k)+i+(j-w) 3 n, & \text { if } w+1 \leq j \leq m\end{cases} \\
& \psi_{1}\left(x_{i}^{j} x_{i+1}^{j}\right)= \begin{cases}4 n+2(l-k)+i, & \text { if } \quad j=w \\
4 n+2(l-k)+i+(j-w) 3 n, & \text { if } \quad w+1 \leq j \leq m\end{cases} \\
& \psi_{1}\left(c^{j} y_{i}^{j}\right)= \begin{cases}5 n+2(l-k)+i, & \text { if } \quad j=w \\
5 n+2(l-k)+i+(j-w) 3 n, & \text { if } \quad w+1 \leq j \leq m\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) When $n$ is odd,
(a) If $w$ is odd

$$
\psi_{1}\left(x_{i}^{j} y_{i}^{j}\right)= \begin{cases}3 n+2(l-k)+1+i, & \text { if } \quad j=w \\ 3 n+2(l-k)+i+1+(j-w) 3 n, & \text { if } \quad w+1 \leq j \leq m\end{cases}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{1}\left(x_{i}^{j} x_{i+1}^{j}\right)= \begin{cases}4 n+2(l-k)+1+i, & \text { if } \quad j=w \\
4 n+2(l-k)+i+1+(j-w) 3 n, & \text { if } \quad w+1 \leq j \leq m\end{cases} \\
& \psi_{1}\left(c^{j} y_{i}^{j}\right)= \begin{cases}5 n+2(l-k)+1+i, & \text { if } \quad j=w \\
5 n+2(l-k)+i+1+(j-w) 3 n, & \text { if } \quad w+1 \leq j \leq m\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) If $w$ is even, then the edges $c^{j} x_{i}^{j}, x_{i}^{j} y_{i}^{j}$ and $x_{i}^{j} x_{i+1}^{j}$ receive the same labels as in Case II (i)

Under the labeling $\psi_{1}$ the total weights of the edges are described as follows:
(i) The edges $x_{i}^{j} y_{i}^{j}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m$ receive consecutive integers from the interval $[3 n(j-1)+3,3 n(j-1)+n+2]$,
(ii) The edges $x_{i}^{j} x_{i+1}^{j}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m$ receive consecutive integers from the interval $[3 n(j-1)+n+3,3 n(j-1)+2 n+2]$,
(iii) The edges $c^{j} x_{i}^{j}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m$ receive consecutive integers from the interval $[3 n(j-1)+2 n+3,3 n(j-1)+3 n+2]$.

It is not difficult to see that all vertex and edge labels are at most $k$ and the edgeweights of the edges $c^{j} x_{i}^{j}, x_{i}^{j} y_{i}^{j}$ and $x_{i}^{j} x_{i+1}^{j}$ are pairwise distinct. Thus, the resulting labeling is a total edge irregular $k$-labeling. This concludes the proof.

For $m, n \geq 2$, let us consider the disjoint union of $m$ non-isomorphic helm graphs: $H_{n+1}, H_{n+2}, H_{n+3}, \ldots, H_{n+m}$, where

$$
V\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{m} H_{n+j}\right)=\left\{c^{j}, x_{i}^{j}, y_{i}^{j} ; 1 \leq i \leq n+j, 1 \leq j \leq m\right\}
$$

is the corresponding vertex set and

$$
E\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{m} H_{n+j}\right)=\left\{c^{j} x_{i}^{j}, x_{i}^{j} y_{i}^{j}, x_{i}^{j} x_{i+1}^{j} ; 1 \leq i \leq n+j, 1 \leq j \leq m\right\}
$$

is the corresponding edge set. Note that the subscript $n+j+1$ is replaced by 1 .
Now, we determine the exact value of the total edge irregularity strength of the graph $\bigcup_{j=1}^{m} H_{n+j}$.

Theorem 1. Let $m, n \geq 2$ be two integers and $G \cong \bigcup_{j=1}^{m} H_{n+j}$. Then tes $(G)=m n+1+\frac{m(m+1)}{2}$.
Proof. As $\left|\mathrm{E}\left(\cup_{j=1}^{m} H_{n+j}\right)\right|=3 \sum_{j=1}^{m}(n+j)$ then from (1) it follows that $\operatorname{tes}(G) \geq m n+1+\frac{m(m+1)}{2}$. Let $k=m n+1+\frac{m(m+1)}{2}$. To prove the converse inequality, we define the total edge irregular $k$-labeling $\psi_{2}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n+j$ and $1 \leq j \leq m$ as follows.

For $1 \leq i \leq n+1$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\psi_{2}\left(c^{1}\right)=\psi_{2}\left(x_{i}^{1}\right)=\psi_{2}\left(y_{i}^{1}\right)=1 \\
\psi_{2}\left(x_{i}^{1} y_{i}^{1}\right)=i, \quad \psi_{2}\left(x_{i}^{1} x_{i+1}^{1}\right)=n+1+i, \quad \psi_{2}\left(c^{1} x_{i}^{1}\right)=2 n+2+i
\end{gathered}
$$

For $1 \leq i \leq n+j$
$\psi_{2}\left(c^{j}\right)=\psi_{2}\left(x_{i}^{j}\right)=\psi_{2}\left(y_{i}^{j}\right)=\min \left\{\left[\frac{3 \sum_{s=1}^{j-1}(n+s+2)}{2}\right\rfloor, k\right\}$ for $2 \leq j \leq m$.

- For $2 \leq j \leq m$ such that $\left\{\frac{3 \sum_{s=1}^{j-1}(n+s)+2}{2}\right\rfloor<\mathrm{k}$
(i) When $\sum_{s=1}^{j-1}(n+s) \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$

$$
\psi_{2}\left(x_{i}^{j} y_{i}^{j}\right)=i, \quad \psi_{2}\left(x_{i}^{j} x_{i+1}^{j}\right)=n+j+i, \quad \psi_{2}\left(c^{j} x_{i}^{j}\right)=2 n+2 j+i
$$

(ii) When $\sum_{s=1}^{j-1}(n+s) \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$

$$
\psi_{2}\left(x_{i}^{j} y_{i}^{j}\right)=1+i, \quad \psi_{2}\left(x_{i}^{j} x_{i+1}^{j}\right)=1+n+j+i, \quad \psi_{2}\left(c^{j} x_{i}^{j}\right)=1+2 n+2 j+i,
$$

- For $2 \leq j \leq m$ such that $\left\lfloor\frac{3 \sum_{s=1}^{j-1}(n+s)+2}{2}\right\rfloor \geq \mathrm{k}$

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w=\min \left\{j ; 2 \leq j \leq m \text { such that }\left\{\frac{3 \sum_{s=1}^{j-1}(n+s)+2}{2}\right\rfloor \geq \mathrm{k}\right\} \\
& \psi_{2}\left(x_{i}^{j} y_{i}^{j}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
3 \sum_{s=1}^{w-1}(n+s)-2 k+i, & \text { if } & j=w \\
3 \sum_{s=1}^{w-1}(n+s)-2 k+i+3 n+3 j-1, & \text { if } & w+1 \leq j \leq m
\end{array}\right. \\
& \psi_{2}\left(x_{i}^{j} x_{i+1}^{j}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
3 \sum_{s=1}^{w-1}(n+s)-2 k+2+n+w+i, & \text { if } \quad j=w \\
3 \sum_{s=1}^{w-1}(n+s)-2 k+i+4 n+2 j+w, & \text { if } \\
w+1 \leq j \leq m
\end{array}\right. \\
& \psi_{2}\left(c^{j} x_{i}^{j}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
3 \sum_{s=1}^{w-1}(n+s)-2 k+2+2 n+2 w+i, & \text { if } j=w \\
3 \sum_{s=1}^{w-1}(n+s)-2 k+i+5 n+j+2 w+1, & \text { if } \\
w+1 \leq j \leq m
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Under the labeling $\psi_{2}$ the total weights of the edges are described as follows:
(i) The edges $x_{i}^{1} y_{i}^{1}, x_{i}^{1} x_{i+1}^{1}$ and $c^{1} x_{i}^{1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n+1$ receive consecutive integers from the interval $[3,3+n],[4+n, 2 n+4]$ and $[2 n+5,3 n+5]$, respectively.
(ii) The edges $x_{i}^{j} y_{i}^{j}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n+j, 2 \leq j \leq m$ receive consecutive integers from the interval $\left[3 \sum_{s=1}^{j-1}(n+s)+3,3 \sum_{s=1}^{j-1}(n+s)+n+j+2\right]$,
(iii) The edges $x_{i}^{j} x_{i+1}^{j}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n+j, 2 \leq j \leq m$ receive consecutive integers from the interval $\left[3 \sum_{s=1}^{j-1}(n+s)+n+j+3,3 \sum_{s=1}^{j-1}(n+s)+2 n+2 j+2\right]$,
(iv) The edges $c^{j} x_{i}^{j}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n+j, 2 \leq j \leq m$ receive consecutive integers from the interval $\left[3 \sum_{s=1}^{j-1}(n+s)+2 n+2 j+3,3 \sum_{s=1}^{j}(n+s)+2\right]$,

It is not difficult to see that all vertex and edge labels are at most $k$ and the edgeweights of the edges $c^{j} x_{i}^{j}, x_{i}^{j} y_{i}^{j}$ and $x_{i}^{j} x_{i+1}^{j}$ are pairwise distinct. Thus, the resulting labeling is a total edge irregular $k$-labeling. This concludes the proof.

## 3 Conclusion

In this paper, we have determined the exact value of the total edge irregularity strength of the disjoint union of $m$ copies of a helm graph as well as the disjoint union of non-isomorphic helm graphs $\underset{j=1}{m} H_{n+j}$. We conclude by stating the following open problem:

Open Problem. For $m \geq 2$ find the exact value of the total edge irregularity strength of a disjoint union of $m$ arbitrary helm graphs.
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